

New Results from the G0 Experiment

Elizabeth Beise, University of Maryland

- Parity-violating electron scattering from the nucleon
 - Hydrogen and deuterium targets
 - Strange quark contributions to electromagnetic form <u>factors</u>
 - Axial-vector N-∆ transition
 - Weak interaction contribution to pion photoproduction
 - Transverse beam spin asymmetries







Electromagnetic:

0

Spatial distribution of s-quarks in the nucleon

courtesy of JLab

1 /

Access via form factors: contribution to nucleon charge and magnetism

$$G \to \left\langle N \left| \sum e_q \overline{q} \Gamma_\mu q \right| N \right.$$

	d n					
$G^{\gamma,p} = \frac{-}{3}$	$-G^{u,p} - \frac{-}{3} (G^{u,p})$	$+G^{s,p})$		EM charge	Weak charge	
J	$\int d_{n} p = c d_{n} p$		e	-1	$-1 + 4 \sin^2 \theta_W$	-
anduse	$G^{d,p} = G^{u,p}$	charge	u	+2/3	$1 - 8/3 \sin^2 \theta_W$	
	$G^{u,p} = G^{u,n}$	symmetry	d	-1/3	$-1 + 4/3 \sin^2 \theta_W$	
	$G^{s,p} = G^{s,n}$		S	-1/3	$-1 + 4/3 \sin^2 \theta_W$	
$G^{u,p}_{v,v} =$	$3-4\sin^2\theta_{\rm m}$	$\gamma, p - G^{Z, p}$				
$G_{E,M}^{d,p} = 0$	$(2-4\sin^2\theta_W)G$	$\mathcal{F}_{E,M}^{\gamma,p} - \mathcal{G}_{E,M}^{\gamma,n} - \mathcal{G}_{E,M}^{Z,p}$		si	$n^2 \theta_W = 0.2312$	
$G_{E,M}^{s,p} = ($	$\left(1-4\sin^2\theta_W\right)G_E^{\gamma}$	$G_{\mathcal{L},M}^{\gamma,p} - G_{\mathcal{L},M}^{\gamma,n} - G_{\mathcal{L},M}^{Z,p}$				



	$a_0^{}$ (ppm)	$a_{l}^{}$ (ppm)	$a_2^{}$ (ppm)	$a_3^{}(ppm)$
A_F	-24	80	43	3
A _B	-39	22	63	12
A _d	-50	19	13	14

e.g.: G0 at 687 MeV (Q²~ 0.6 GeV²)

Summary of data at Q² =0.1 GeV²

Solid ellipse: K. Pashke, private comm, [same as J. Liu, et al PRC 76, 025202 (2007)], uses theoretical constraints on the axial form factor

Dashed ellipse: R. Young ,et al. PRL 97 (2006) 102002, does not constrain G_A with theory

note: Placement of SAMPLE band on the graph depends on choice for G_A

% contrib =
$$\frac{G_{E,M}^s}{G_{E,M}^p} \times \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right) \times 100$$



New Results from PVA4

S. Baunack et al., PRL 102 (2009) 151803

 $Q^2 = 0.22 \text{ GeV}^2, \theta = 145^{\circ}$ $A_{meas} = -17.23 \pm 0.82 \pm 0.89 \text{ ppm}$

 $A_{nvs} = -15.87 \pm 1.22 \text{ ppm}$ (uses theoretical constraint of Zhu et al., for the axial FF)

$$G_E^s = 0.050 \pm 0.038 \pm 0.019$$

 $G_M^s = -0.14 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.11$

% contribution to proton: electric: -3.0 ± 2.5 % magnetic: $+2.9 \pm 3.2$ %



Quasielastic PV (ee') in Deuterium

Use Quasielastic scattering from deuterium as lever arm for $G_A^{e}(Q^2)$

$$A_d = \frac{\sigma_p A_p + \sigma_n A_n}{\sigma_d}$$

Parity conserving nuclear corrections to the asymmetry are generally small, 1-3% at backward angles. Calculation provided to us by R. Schiavilla includes final state interactions and 2-body effects.



CIPANP 2009

2-body effects in the D asymmetry

calculations from R. Schiavilla, see also R.S., J. Carlson, and M. Paris, PRC70, 044007 (2004).



E. Beise, U Maryland

Jefferson Laboratory



E ~ 6 GeV Continuous Polarized Electron Beam > 100 μA up to 85% polarization concurrent to 3 Halls



upgrade to 12 GeV now underway



The G0 experiment at JLAB

- Forward and backward angle PV e-p elastic and e-d (quasielastic) in JLab Hall C
- superconducting toroidal magnet
 - scattered particles detected in segmented scintillator arrays in spectrometer focal plane
 - custom electronics count and Electron Beam
 process scattered particles at > 1
 MHz
 - forward angle data published 2005
 - backward angle data: 2006-2007

 G_E^s , G_M^s and G_A^e separated over range $Q^2 \sim 0.1 - 1.0$ (GeV/c)²



Detectors



CIPANP 2009

G0 Forward angle Results



E. Beise, U Maryland

G0 Backward Angle

Electron detection: $\theta = 108^{\circ}$, H and D targets Add Cryostat Exit Detectors (CED) to define electron trajectory Aerogel Cerenkov detector for π /e separation ($p_{\pi} < 380 \text{ MeV/c}$) 1 scaler per channel FPD/CED pair (w/ and wo/ CER)

$E_{\mathrm{e}}(MeV)$	Q ² (GeV ²)
362	0.23
687	0.62

Both H and D at each kinematic setting

Common Q² with HAPPEX-III and PVA4



E. Beise, U Maryland

Hydrogen raw electron data



E. Beise, U Maryland

CIPANP 2009

Deuterium raw electron data



16

Analysis Strategy



E. Beise, U Maryland

Rate Corrections

Correct the yields for random coincidences and electronic deadtime prior to asymmetry calculation

randoms small except for D-687 (due to higher pion rate)

Direct (out-of-time) randoms measured

Validated with simulation of the complete electronics chain

Data set	Correction to Yield (%)	Asymmetry Correction (ppm)	systematic error (ppm)
H 362	6	0.3	0.06
H 687	7	1.4	0.17
D 362	13	0.7	0.2
D 687	9	6	1.8

Deadtimes (%)





CIPANP 2009

E. Beise, U Maryland

Backgrounds: Magnetic Field Scans

Use simulation *shapes* to help determine dilution factors

Main contributions are Aluminum windows (~10%), pions (for D-687 data only).



Data set	Asymmetry Correction (ppm)	systematic error (ppm)
H 362	0.50	0.37
H 687	0.13	0.78
D 362	0.06	0.02
D 687	2.03	0.37

E. Beise, U Maryland

Experimental "Physics" Asymmetries

all entries in ppm

Data Set	Asymmetry	Stat	S:/: pt	Global
H 362	-11.01	0.84	0.26	0.37
D 362	-16.50	J.79	0.39	0.19
H 687	-44.76	2.36	0.80	0.72
D 687	54.03	3.22	1.91	0.62

H: systematic uncertainties dominated by beam polarization D: rate corrections also contribute to uncertainty

Asymmetries to Form Factors

$$A_{phys} = a_0 + a_E G_E^s + a_M G_M^s + a_A G_A^e$$

Electromagnetic form factors: Kelly (PRC 70 (2004)) also used in Schiavilla calculation for D does not include new low Q² data from BLAST or JLab eventually use new fits (Arrington & Melnitchouk for p, Arrington & Sick for n) differences in fits become 0.5 – 1 % in the asymmetry

Two-boson exchange corrections to Asymmetry: 0.5 -1.2% (see Tjon, Blunden & Melnitchouk, arXiv:0903.2759v1) includes D contributions, calculation for n in progress

$$A_{meas} = (1 + \delta) A_{Born} = \left(\frac{1 + \delta_{Z(\gamma\gamma)} + \delta_{\gamma(\gamma Z)}}{1 + \delta_{\gamma(\gamma\gamma)}}\right) A_{Born}$$



CIPANP 2009

Anapole form factor $F^{\gamma}_{A}(Q^{2})$

Three scenarios

1. $F_A(Q^2)$ is like $G_A(Q^2)$

2. $F_A(Q^2)$ is flat (Riska, NPA 678 (2000) 79)

3. $F_A(Q^2) \sim 1 + Q^2$ (Maekawa, Viega, van Kolck, PLB 488 (2000) 167) – (shown here are the most extreme set of model parameters)



Summary

 first look at Q² behavior of strangeness contribution to proton's charge and magnetism: continue to be small

• first results for the Q² behavior of the anapole contributions to the axial form factor

- other results to come soon from GO:
 - \rightarrow transverse beam spin asymmetries (2- γ exchange) in H and D
 - \rightarrow PV in the N- Δ transition: axial transition f.f.
 - \rightarrow PV asymmetry in inclusive $\pi-$ production







The G⁰ Collaboration (backward angle run)



Caltech, Carnegie Mellon, William and Mary, Hendricks College, Orsay, Grenoble, LA Tech, NMSU, Ohio, JLab, TRIUMF, Illinois, Kentucky, Manitoba, Maryland, Winnipeg, Zagreb, Virginia Tech, Yerevan Physics Institute



CIPANP 2009

