

Irreducible tensor operators and the Wigner-Eckart theorem

1. An *irreducible tensor operator* of order $k = 0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, \dots$ is a collection of operators T_{kq} , $q = k, k-1, \dots, -k$, that transforms under rotations like the spherical harmonics $Y_{kq}(\theta, \phi)$, considered as multiplication operators, i.e.

$$[J_z, T_{kq}] = q T_{kq} \quad (1)$$

$$[J_{\pm}, T_{kq}] = \sqrt{k(k+1) - q(q \pm 1)} T_{k, q \pm 1} \quad (2)$$

where it is understood that $T_{kq} \equiv 0$ unless $|q| \leq k$.

Another example of a tensor operator is the operator of tensor multiplication by some spin- k multiplet of states $|kq\rangle$, i.e.

$$M(k, q)|\psi\rangle := |kq\rangle|\psi\rangle. \quad (3)$$

2. Let J^2 , J_z , and Ω form a complete commuting set of operators with corresponding eigenstates labeled uniquely by $|\omega jm\rangle$. The matrix elements of the first commutation relation (1) imply that the matrix elements of any irreducible tensor operator T_{kq} have a very special structure in the quantum numbers m, j :

$$\langle \omega' j' m' | T_{kq} | \omega j m \rangle = 0 \quad \text{unless} \quad m' = m + q. \quad (4)$$

The matrix elements of the remaining commutation relations (2) imply recursion relations for the matrix elements of T_{kq} :

$$a \langle \omega' j' m' | T_{k, q \pm 1} | \omega j m \rangle = b \langle \omega' j', m' \mp 1 | T_{kq} | \omega j m \rangle - c \langle \omega' j' m' | T_{kq} | \omega j, m \pm 1 \rangle \quad (5)$$

where

$$a = \sqrt{k(k+1) - q(q \pm 1)} \quad (6)$$

$$b = \sqrt{j'(j'+1) - m'(m' \mp 1)} \quad (7)$$

$$c = \sqrt{j(j+1) - m(m \pm 1)} \quad (8)$$

- 3.** Part 2 implies that the matrix elements $\langle \omega' j' m' | T_{kq} | \omega j m \rangle$ with fixed $\omega' j' \omega j$ are linearly determined recursively by (for example) the nonzero matrix element with maximal m' and m . (One need not work out the formula explicitly for each matrix element to see that the elements are so determined.) Thus, the $m'm$ matrix elements of any two irreducible tensor operators are proportional to each other in the sense that

$$\langle \omega'_1 j' m' | T_{kq}^{(1)} | \omega_1 j m \rangle = S \langle \omega'_2 j' m' | T_{kq}^{(2)} | \omega_2 j m \rangle \quad (9)$$

where S is a scalar that depends on $\omega'_1, \omega_1, \omega'_2, \omega_2, j', j$ and the operator T_k but not m', m, q . In writing (9) we have assumed of course that the relevant matrix elements of $T_{kq}^{(2)}$ do not vanish identically.

- 4.** The matrix elements of the tensor multiplication operator (3), are just the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients $\langle j' m' | k j q m \rangle$. Choosing $T_{kq}^{(2)} = M_{kq}$ in (9) thus shows in particular that

$$\langle \omega' j' m' | T_{kq} | \omega j m \rangle = \frac{\langle \omega' j' || T_k || \omega j \rangle}{\sqrt{2j'+1}} \langle j' m' | k j q m \rangle, \quad (10)$$

where the $\langle \omega' j' || T || \omega j \rangle$ is called the “reduced matrix element”. This is the *Wigner-Eckart theorem*. It states that the matrix elements of an irreducible tensor operator are proportional to the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, with a factor that depends on ω', ω, j', j but not m', m, q .

- 5.** Although our derivation so far only shows that (10) holds when the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients do not vanish, it actually holds as well when they do. Thus, besides (4), there is a further restriction:

$$\langle \omega' j' m' | T_{kq} | \omega j m \rangle = 0 \quad \text{unless} \quad j' \subset k \otimes j. \quad (11)$$

Equations (4) and (11) are sometimes called *selection rules*.

To see that (10) holds in general one can use the commutation relations (1,2) to show that the set of vectors $\{T_{kq}|jm\rangle\}$ is closed under the action of J_z and J_{\pm} , hence can be decomposed into a set of irreducible representations of the rotation group. In particular,

$$J_z T_{kq}|jm\rangle = (q + m)T_{kq}|jm\rangle, \quad (12)$$

so the decomposition proceeds just as for the product space spanned by the vectors $\{|kq\rangle|jm\rangle\}$. This yields a sum of representations $(k + j) \oplus (k + j - 1) \oplus \cdots \oplus |k - j|$. Thus the matrix elements of the tensor operator on the left hand side of (10) do in fact vanish whenever the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients on the right hand side vanish.

- 6.** A vector operator is a tensor operator with $k = 1$. The Wigner-Eckart theorem implies as a special case that the matrix elements of any vector operator V^a between states of the *same*¹ j are proportional to those of the angular momentum operator J^a :

$$\langle \omega' j m' | V^a | \omega j m \rangle = \langle \omega' j || V || \omega j \rangle \langle j m' | J^a | j m \rangle. \quad (13)$$

The reduced matrix element² $\langle \omega' j || V || \omega j \rangle$ is given by

$$\langle \omega' j || V || \omega j \rangle = \langle \omega' j m | \vec{V} \cdot \vec{J} | \omega j m \rangle / j(j + 1) \quad (14)$$

for any m . To see this multiply (13) by $\langle \omega j m | J^a | \omega j m'' \rangle$ and sum over m .) This is called the *projection theorem*. It corresponds to the statement that the components of \vec{V} orthogonal to \vec{J} average to zero.

- 7.** Useful fact: the trace $\sum_m \langle \omega j m | T_{k0} | \omega j m \rangle$ of the matrix elements of T_{k0} ($k \neq 0$) in a subspace of given ω and j is zero. *Proof:* The trace of a commutator of finite dimensional matrices vanishes, and $T_{k0} \propto [J_+, T_{k,-1}]$, which can be truncated to the given subspace since J_+ acts within the subspace.

¹Note that the restriction to matrix elements between states of the *same* j is in general necessary for (13) to be true, since the matrix elements of J^a between different j 's vanish, but those of V^a do not in general.

²The reduced matrix element defined in (13) is $-[j(j + 1)(2j + 1)]^{-1/2}$ times the one used in the conventional statement of the Wigner-Eckart theorem (10).

8. *Hole-Particle equivalence*: In some ways, a shell filled with identical fermions except for n “holes” behaves the same as a shell with only n such particles. More precisely, let $T_{k0}(i)$ be a single particle irreducible tensor operator with $k > 0$, indexed by the particle label i . It can be shown that

$$\langle j^{2j+1-n} JM | \sum_{i=n+1}^{2j+1} T_{k0}(i) | j^{2j+1-n} JM \rangle = (-1)^{k+1} \langle j^n JM | \sum_{i=1}^n T_{k0}(i) | j^n JM \rangle, \quad (15)$$

where $|j^n JM\rangle$ is a totally antisymmetric state of n identical fermions, each with angular momentum j , adding up to a total angular momentum J and total z -component of angular momentum M . (For a proof, see for example *Nuclear Shell Theory*, A. de Shalit and I. Talmi (Academic Press, 1963).)