Prof. Ted Jacobson PSC 3151, (301)405-6020 jacobson@physics.umd.edu

- **1.** Problem 11.1, Schwabl. (Use units with  $\hbar = m = \omega = 1$ , and use Schwabl's hint.)
- **2.** Problem 11.3, Schwabl. (Use the x and y ladder operators. N.B. also  $\omega = 1$ .)
- **3.** Consider the Hamiltonian  $H = H_0 + V$  of a three state system, with

$$H_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & v \\ 0 & 0 & v \\ v & v & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (1)

[2+1+5+2=10 points]

- (a) Find the exact eigenvalues and eigenvectors of H.
- (b) Expand the exact eigenvalues and eigenvectors to order  $v^2$ .
- (c) Use degenerate perturbation theory (with V as the perturbation) to find the first and second order energy shifts  $E^{(1)}$  and  $E^{(2)}$ , and check that they agree with part 3b.
- (d) Find the eigenvectors of the second order secular equation and compare with the  $v \to 0$  limit of the exact eigenvectors. They should agree.
- 4. Consider a two-state quantum system described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} E + U & \Delta e^{i\phi} \\ \Delta e^{-i\phi} & E - U \end{pmatrix},$$
(2)

with E, U,  $\Delta$ , and  $\phi$  all real. This is the most general hermitian  $2 \times 2$  matrix. [2+1+1+3+3=10 points]

- (a) Find the exact eigenvalues and eigenvectors of H. (*Tip*: Expand H in Pauli matrices and use what you know about them.)
- (b) Sketch the eigenvalues as functions of U when U changes from  $U \ll -\Delta$  to  $U \gg \Delta$ . Notice that the energy levels "repel" in the region  $U \approx 0$  where they would cross if  $\Delta$  were zero.
- (c) Expand the exact eigenvalues to lowest nonvanishing order in  $U/\Delta$  when  $U \ll \Delta$ .
- (d) Considering the  $\Delta$  terms of the Hamiltonian (2) as a perturbation, compute the first and second order energy level shifts using non-degenerate perturbation theory (assume  $U \neq 0$ .)
- (e) The approximate eigenvalues of parts (4c) and (4d) do not agree when  $0 < U \ll \Delta$ . Explain why non-degenerate perturbation theory does not give good results even though the unperturbed eigenvalues are non-degenerate when  $U \neq 0$ .

- 5. In most calculations of atomic energy levels the nucleus is taken as a positive point charge Ze. Actually, the nuclear charge is more accurately represented by a uniform charge distribution reaching to a radius of about  $Z^{1/3}$  Fermi. (1 Fermi =  $10^{-13}$  cm =  $2 \times 10^{-5}$  Bohr radius.) [6+2+1+1=10 points]
  - (a) Calculate the correction to the energy of a 1s electron due to this nuclear size effect. How does this correction depend on the nuclear charge Z? (*Note:* You can simplify the calculation by noting that the nucleus is much smaller than the Bohr radius, so the wave function is approximately constant inside the nucleus.)
  - (b) What is the ratio of the nuclear size effect to the hyperfine splitting of the 1s level as a function of Z and the nuclear mass  $M_N$ ? (See (12.40) of Schwabl.) What is the ratio for hydrogen? Assuming  $M_N \sim 2Zm_{\text{proton}}$ , I = 1/2, and  $g_N \sim 1$ , at roughly what value of Z do these effects become comparable?
  - (c) What is the ratio of the nuclear size effect to that of the Darwin term? (See (12.22) of Schwabl.)
  - (d) Why is the shift for the 2p state is negligible compared to that for the 1s state?