

# Homework 4

Ted Jacobson and William D. Linch III

March 28, 2005

**1.a** The null generators are radial and are specified by  $r(\lambda)$  and  $t(\lambda)$ , with fixed angles. As they form a null geodesic congruence, they extremize the functional  $I = \frac{1}{2} \int (\dot{t}^2 - \dot{r}^2)$ . (The angular part is trivially stationary since it is quadratic in the derivatives  $\dot{\theta} = \dot{\phi} = 0$ .) The Euler-Lagrange equations for  $r$  following from this functional are  $0 = \ddot{r}$ . Hence,  $r = a\lambda + b$  is an affine parameter for the null generators.

**1.b** The area of the spherical surfaces  $dr = 0$  of radius  $r$  are  $\text{Area} = 4\pi r^2$ . Then, on the past light cone<sup>1</sup>

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_0 &= \left. \frac{d\text{Area}}{dr} \right|_{r=r_0} \\ &= \frac{-2 \cdot 4\pi r_0}{4\pi r_0^2} \\ &= -\frac{2}{r_0}.\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

**1.c** According to the focusing theorem,  $\theta \rightarrow -\infty$  at or before an affine parameter time  $\lambda_0 = 2|\theta_0|^{-1}$ . Solving (1) gives  $r_0 = -\frac{2}{\theta_0} = 2|\theta_0|^{-1}$ . On the cone  $0 = t^2 - r^2$  this corresponds to a time  $\lambda_0 = t_0 = r_0$  in agreement with the theorem.

**2.a** By the spherical symmetry of the metric, initially radial geodesics remain radial. Furthermore, the square of the 4-velocity is conserved along a geodesic. Therefore, if the geodesic is radial and null to begin with, it remains radial and null. This is a rigorous argument but perhaps it is helpful to do it explicitly (if not, skip to **2.b**): Geodesics extremize the functional  $I = \frac{1}{2} \int (f\dot{t}^2 - g\dot{r}^2 - r^2\dot{\Omega}^2) d\lambda$ , *id est* they satisfy the equations

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{d\lambda}(f\dot{t}) &= 0 \\ f'\dot{t}^2 - g'\dot{r}^2 + 2\frac{d}{d\lambda}(g\dot{r}) - 2r\dot{\Omega}^2 &= 0\end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The sign comes from the fact that  $r$  is decreasing on the past light cone.

$$r^2\ddot{\Omega} = 0. \quad (2)$$

For initially radial geodesics  $\dot{\Omega} = 0$  the third equation implies that they stay radial so we can ignore the  $\Omega$ -terms. The first equation implies that  $\dot{t} = c/f$  for some constant  $c$ . On the other hand, radial light ( $ds^2 = 0$ ) rays solve  $0 = fdt^2 - gdr^2$ , *id est*

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{f}{g}}. \quad (3)$$

Therefore,  $\dot{r} = \pm \sqrt{f/g}\dot{t} = \pm c/\sqrt{fg}$  and one can differentiate this again to obtain

$$\ddot{r} = -\frac{c^2}{2} \left( \frac{f'}{f^2g} + \frac{g'}{fg^2} \right). \quad (4)$$

If these formulæ solve the second equation in (2), we will have shown that radial null rays are always geodesics. Indeed, substitution yields

$$f' \frac{c^2}{f^2} - g' \frac{c^2}{fg} + 2g' \frac{c^2}{fg} + 2g \left\{ -\frac{c^2}{2} \left( \frac{f'}{f^2g} + \frac{g'}{fg^2} \right) \right\} \equiv 0. \quad (5)$$

## 2.b

The equation in the text after (3) shows that  $\dot{r}$  is constant—i.e.  $r$  is linearly related to the affine parameter  $\lambda$  and is thus affine itself—iff  $fg = \text{constant}$ .

**2.c** By symmetry  $\sigma = 0 = \omega$  on the radial geodesic congruences so that the Raychaudhuri equation reduces to  $\dot{\theta} = -\frac{1}{2}\theta^2 + R_{ab}k^ak^b$ . On the other hand  $\theta = \frac{1}{\text{Area}} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \text{Area} = 2\dot{r}/r$  which gives  $\dot{\theta} = 2\ddot{r}/r - \frac{1}{2}\theta^2$ . Therefore,  $2\ddot{r}/r = R_{ab}k^ak^b$  so  $\ddot{r} = 0 \Leftrightarrow R_{ab}k^ak^b = 0$ .

## 2.d

(i) The cosmological constant shifts  $R_{ab}$  by a term proportional to the metric and hence contributes a term in the Raychaudhuri equation proportional to  $g_{ab}k^ak^b = 0$ .

(ii) A radial electric field  $(\vec{E})^r = F_{0r}$ . The stress-energy is given by  $T_{ab} \propto F_{ac}F_b{}^c - \frac{1}{4}g_{ab}F^2$ . Its non-trace part reduces to  $T_{ab} \propto F_{a0}F_{b0} - F_{ar}F_{br}$ . Since  $k$  is radial and null, we can normalize it to  $k = (1, 1, 0, 0)$  in Schwarzschild-like coordinates. Then  $T_{ab}k^ak^b = F_{r0}^2 - F_{0r}^2 \equiv 0$ .

Alternatively, we observe that the 1-form  $F_{ab}k^b$  has no angular components and is orthogonal to  $k^a$  by the anti-symmetry of  $F$ . It follows that it is proportional to  $k_a$ : There is some constant  $c$  such that  $F_{ab}k^b = ck_a$ . Then  $T_{ab}k^ak^b \propto c^2k_ak^a - \frac{1}{4}k^2F^2 = 0$ .

For the radial magnetic field  $(\vec{B})^r = F_{\theta\phi}$  we observe that  $T_{ab}k^ak^b$  must vanish because  $k$  has no non-radial parts  $k^\theta$  and/or  $k^\phi$ .

(iii) This time the non-trace part of the stress tensor is  $T_{ab} = \partial_a \varphi \partial_b \varphi$  and  $T_{ab} k^a k^b = (k \cdot \partial \varphi)^2$  which does not vanish for generic  $\varphi$ .

**3.a** Let  $\hat{x} := (d\lambda/dv)x$  for  $x = \theta, \sigma, k$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\hat{\theta}}{dv} &= \frac{d\lambda}{dv} \frac{d\theta}{dv} + \frac{d^2\lambda}{dv^2} \theta \\ &= \left(\frac{d\lambda}{dv}\right)^2 \frac{d\theta}{d\lambda} + \frac{d^2\lambda}{dv^2} \left(\frac{d\lambda}{dv}\right)^{-1} \hat{\theta} \\ \Rightarrow \left(\frac{d\lambda}{dv}\right)^2 \frac{d\theta}{d\lambda} &= \frac{d\hat{\theta}}{dv} - \kappa \hat{\theta} . \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The Raychaudhuri equation is<sup>2</sup>

$$\frac{d\theta}{d\lambda} = -\frac{1}{2}\theta^2 - \sigma^2 - R_{ab}k^a k^b . \quad (7)$$

Multiply this equation by  $(d\lambda/dv)^2$  and plug in (6) to obtain

$$\frac{d\hat{\theta}}{d\lambda} = \kappa \hat{\theta} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\theta}^2 - \hat{\sigma}^2 - R_{ab}\hat{k}^a \hat{k}^b . \quad (8)$$

**3.b** Starting with  $\Delta A = \int_B \theta d^2 A d\lambda = \int_B \hat{\theta} d^2 A dv$ , substituting the adiabatic solution of (8),  $\hat{\theta} \approx (1/\kappa)R_{ab}\hat{k}^a \hat{k}^b$ , and using the Einstein equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta A &= \frac{8\pi}{\kappa} \int_B T_{ab} \hat{k}^a \hat{k}^b d^2 A dv \\ &= \frac{4}{T_H} \int_B T_{ab} \hat{k}^a \underbrace{k^b d^2 A d\lambda}_{d\Sigma^b} , \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where we have used  $T_H = \kappa/2\pi$ . Since  $k^a d\lambda = \xi^a dv$  (recall  $k^a = (d/d\lambda)^a$  and we are just making a change of variables to Killing time) it follows that  $\hat{k}^a = \xi^a$ . Defining the “energy” flux<sup>3</sup> across the horizon  $H$  as  $\delta E_H = \int_H T_{ab} \xi^a d\Sigma^b$ , we obtain the desired result

$$\frac{\Delta A}{4} = \frac{\delta E_H}{T_H} . \quad (10)$$

**4.a** Imagine pretty drawing here.

**4.b** In Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates the line element is

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) dv^2 - 2dvdr - r^2 d\Omega^2 , \quad (11)$$

<sup>2</sup>Ted’s reference has a typo in equation (6).

<sup>3</sup>This quantity is really the “(energy) –  $\Omega_H$ (angular momentum)” flux, as it concerns the part of the energy-momentum tensor projected along the horizon-generating Killing field  $\xi$ .

where the advanced time coordinate is defined as  $v = t + r_*$  in terms of the terrapin coordinate  $r_* = r + \log(r - 1)$ . We want to know the form of the out-going null rays. We take, as usual,  $d\Omega = 0$  and solve (11) for  $ds^2 = 0$ . Since we do not want the in-going null geodesic,  $dv \neq 0$  and we find

$$dv = \frac{2dr}{1 - \frac{1}{r}} = 2dr_* . \quad (12)$$

Integrating this equation between initial and final configurations with masses  $M$  and  $M + \Delta M$  gives

$$\frac{\Delta r}{2M} + \log\left(\frac{r_f - 2M}{r_i - 2M}\right) = \frac{\Delta v}{2 \cdot 2M} . \quad (13)$$

Here we have restored the units which we had originally defined by setting  $2M = 1$ . Now, taking the initial radius  $r_i = 2M(1 + \epsilon)$  to be slightly larger than the Schwarzschild radius and the final radius  $r_f = 2(M + \Delta M)$  to be the radius after the second shell collapses,  $\Delta r = 2\Delta M$  and the equation becomes

$$\frac{\Delta M}{M} + \log\left(\frac{\Delta M}{M\epsilon}\right) = \kappa(v_f - v_i) . \quad (14)$$

Finally, discarding small terms<sup>4</sup>  $O(\frac{\Delta M}{M})$  and exponentiating, we find that in the far past

$$\epsilon \sim a e^{\kappa v_i} , \quad (15)$$

where  $a = (\Delta M/M) \exp(-\kappa v_f)$ . We see that as  $v_i \rightarrow -\infty$ ,  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$  exponentially.

---

<sup>4</sup>It is not necessary to assume that these terms are small. Keeping them simply changes the coefficient  $a$  in (15).